

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5574		
10/611,907		07/03/2003	Jovan Jevtic	071469-0303359			
909	7590	12/03/2004		EXAMINER			
PILLSBUI	RY WIN	THROP, LLP	DEB, ANJAN K				
P.O. BOX 1 MCLEAN,		02		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2858			
				DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			·						
		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/611,907		JEVTIC ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	,	Art Unit					
		Anjan K Deb		2858					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the co	rrespondence ac	ddress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimun will apply and will expire SIX (, cause the application to bec	may a reply be time n of thirty (30) days 6) MONTHS from the	ly filed will be considered time ne mailing date of this o (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ju</u>	<u>uly 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 3, 5-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The section of the Examine The section is objected to by the Examine The section is objected to be section to the section to the section is objected to be section to the section to the section to the section is objected to be section to the section to	epted or b) objector drawing(s) be held in a tion is required if the dr	abeyance. See awing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/03/2003</u> .	Pap			O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. With respect to applicants argument rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C 102(e) and applicant's amendment of claim 1 including "electrode disposed within the transmission line", the prior art Arndt (US 3,443,158) cited in this office discloses this feature along with the remaining claim 1 limitations. Therefore claim 1 is now rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Arndt (US 3,443,158).

In response to applicant's argument regarding rejection of claims 8, 16, 18, 19 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) that Miller (US 5,325,019) discloses a capacitive voltage probe 17 that surrounds the transmission line in order to electrostatically determine the voltage of the signal source 11, and that the particular capacitive voltage probe 17 is comprised of a metal tube which surrounds the RF transmission line which is separated from it by insulation, the Miller reference is applied in this office action in combination with Arndt (US 3,443,158) to provide a teaching that a transmission line probe having the claimed probe structure as taught by Arndt (US 3,443,158) that uses capacitance coupling may be used in high frequency application in order to electrostatically determine high frequency transmission line voltage signal.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding rejection of claims 9, 17 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) that Tarzwell lacks the use of an electrode that is disposed within the transmission line, spaced apart from the outer conductor, with a dielectric disposed between the electrode and the

outer conductor and attached to the electrode, and an inner surface of the outer conductor, and has nothing to do with intra-transmission line voltage detection, the Tarzwell reference is applied in combination with Arndt (US 3,443,158) that discloses all of the above to indicate the desirability of sizing high frequency probes to less than one fourth signal wavelength long so as to minimize problems with discontinuities and reflections (column 1 lines 50-56) common to high frequency signal transmission.

The essence of this invention is a probe apparatus and method that may be used for controlling a plasma process wherein the probe comprises a transmission line having inner and outer conductor, and an electrode sized appropriately disposed within the transmission line spaced apart from outer conductor separated by a dielectric, the prior art combination disclosed in this office action discloses this feature.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arndt (US 3,443,158).

Re claim 1, Arndt discloses voltage probe (Fig. 1) comprising a transmission line having an inner conductor 3, and an outer conductor 2, an electrode 21 (conductive surface 21

Art Unit: 2858

embedded in insulation 4)(column 3 line 75, column 4 lines 1-3) spaced apart from the outer conductor 2 and a dielectric 4 disposed between the electrode 21 and the outer conductor 2 (forming capacitance C2), and lead (connected)(column 4 lines 3-8) in electrical communication with the electrode 21, the lead passing through an opening through each of the dielectric and the outer conductor (Fig. 1), wherein the dielectric is attached to the electrode (electrode embedded in insulation 4) and an inner surface of outer electrode.

Re claims 3,5 Arndt discloses voltage probe wherein each of the outer conductor 2 and the electrode 21 are curved (cylinder)(column 4 lines 1-3) wherein electrode overlies the dielectric and dielectric extends 4 outward beyond the edges of electrode 21 (Fig. 1).

Re claim 6, Arndt discloses voltage probe wherein the electrode 21 and the dielectric 4 are each configured as sections of cylindrical surfaces (column 4 lines 1-3) (Fig. 1).

Re claims 7, 13,15 Arndt discloses current probe (10)(Fig. 1,2) for measuring magnitude of current in the transmission line 3 (column 2 lines 57-64, column 4 lines 53-58).

Re claims 10-12, Arndt disclose voltage probe comprising second electrode 31 spaced from first electrode 21.

Re claim 14, Arndt disclose method of measuring a signal in a transmission line including an inner conductor 3 and outer conductor 2 comprising providing a dielectric 4 within the transmission line that is adjacent the outer conductor 2, providing an electrode 21 within the

Art Unit: 2858

transmission line that is separated from the outer conductor 2 by the dielectric 4 and positioned adjacent to the dielectric 4, and measuring a signal from the electrode indicating (27,28) a transmission voltage in the transmission line (column 4 lines 18-27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8, 16, 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arndt (US 3,443,158) in view of Miller (US 5,325,019).

Re claim 8, Arndt discloses all of the claimed limitations as set forth above except current probe has characteristic wavelength and is positioned within a distance less than 3% of signal wavelength.

Miller discloses placing current probe proximate conductor, broadly interpreted as placing the current probe as close as possible to conductor carrying the signal to be measured for achieving maximum capacitive coupling (column 4 lines 45-52).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arndt by placing current probe as close as possible to conductor carrying the signal to be measured to maximize electric field coupling for measuring transmission line voltage by capacitive coupling.

Art Unit: 2858

Re claim 16 Arndt discloses measuring transmission line current and voltage but did not expressly disclose calculating power and impedance.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arndt by adding power calculation by the multiplication of measured voltage and current (P=VI) and impedance calculation from ohms law (Z=V/I) as these relations are well known in the art for measuring power delivered to a load and for fault location.

Re claims 18, Arndt discloses all of the claimed limitations as set forth above except controlling a plasma process comprising input radio frequency signal.

Re claim 18, Miller discloses (Fig. 2) method of controlling a plasma process, comprising providing an input radio frequency signal (RF POWER) to produce a plasma in a plasma generator 12, the radio frequency signal traveling in a transmission line 13 having an inner conductor and an outer conductor (coaxial cable), providing an electrode 17 separated from the outer conductor, providing a dielectric (insulation) adjacent the outer conductor (column 4 lines 39-45), receiving a signal from the electrode indicating voltage of the radio frequency signal (column 4 lines 56-61) and adjusting the input signal (electrical excitation level)(see abstract lines 4-9) in response to the received signal from the electrode (column 2 lines 12-17).

Re claim 19, Miller discloses method of controlling a plasma process further comprising measuring a current of radio frequency signal, and adjusting the input signal (electrical excitation level) in response to the measured current (see abstract lines 4-9, and column 2 lines 12-17).

Art Unit: 2858

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arndt by adapting the probe disclosed by Arndt for use in controlling plasma process comprising radio frequency input signal as disclosed by Miller for monitoring and controlling a plasma process.

6. Claim 9, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arndt (US 3,443,158) in view of Tarzwell (US 5,982,187).

Re claim 9, Arndt disclosed all of the claimed limitations as set forth above except that the size of electrode is small compared to one-fourth of signal wavelength.

Tarzwell discloses the desirability of having a size of a high frequency probe which is less than one tenth of the signal wavelength long so as to minimize problems with discontinuities and reflections (column 1 lines 50-56) common to high frequency signal transmission.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arndt by adding electrode having a length smaller than one-fourth of signal wavelength as disclosed by Tarzwell so as to minimize inductive couplings causing discontinuities and reflections along the length of probe and thus minimize loss of signal strength measured by probe making the probe suitable for high frequency measurements.

7. Claim 17, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arndt (US 3,443,158) in view of Miller (US 5,325,019), and further in view of Tarzwell (US 5,982,187).

Art Unit: 2858

Re claim 17, Arndt and Miller disclosed all of the claimed limitations as set forth above except that the size of electrode is small compared to one-fourth of signal wavelength.

Tarzwell discloses the desirability of having a size of a high frequency probe which is less than one tenth of the signal wavelength long so as to minimize problems with discontinuities and reflections (column 1 lines 50-56) common to high frequency signal transmission.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Arndt and Miller by adding electrode having a length smaller than one-fourth of signal wavelength as disclosed by Tarzwell so as to minimize inductive couplings causing discontinuities and reflections along the length of probe and thus minimize loss of signal strength measured by probe making the probe suitable for high frequency measurements.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Carlile (US 5,339,039) discloses probe (FIG. 2) for radio frequency excited plasma processing system (Fig. 1) comprising Langmuir probe system 21 comprising transmission line having inner conductor 23, outer conductor 27, dielectric (insulating cylinder) 26, capacitive element (43,48)(electrode)(Fig. 4), and leads (54,61) in electrical communication with electrode (43,48) (Fig. 2). The probe provides current and voltage information for determining plasma internal discharge parameters.

Art Unit: 2858

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2858

Contact Information

Page 10

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Anjan K. Deb whose telephone number is 571-272-2228. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, N. Le, can be reached at (571) 272-2233.

Anjan K. Deb

Aujon/u)d

Tel: 571-272-2228

Patent Examiner

Fax: 571-273-2228

Art Unit: 2858

E-mail: anjan.deb@uspto.gov

11/30/04